Continuous blending is where ingredients are automatically and continuously loaded into an operating blender in the desired proportion, usually by means of gravimetric (loss-in-weight) feeders, as shown in Figure 1. The ingredients are mixed as they travel along the blender, which typically consists of a fixed shell with an internal agitator that both stirs the powder and moves it along the blender’s axis. Mixing is achieved as a function of the powder’s position as it moves through the blender. The mixture is continuously discharged at the same mass flow rate as the ingredients entering the blender.

Batch blending is where the powder to be mixed (called the batch, lot, or load) is manually loaded into a blender at discrete times during the blending operation. The ingredients are typically preweighed and, depending on the blend, can be loaded simultaneously or sequentially as a whole or in successive fractions. The blender then tumbles or stirs (or both) the ingredients, and mixing is achieved as a function of the length of time the blender is turned on. When the ingredients are fully mixed, the blender is turned off, and the blend is discharged all at once for subsequent packaging or processing.

Semibatch (or semicontinuous) blending is any process between continuous and batch blending or an operation that uses elements of both: for example, mixing ingredients as a batch in a portable bin and then continuously filling the mixture into bags, boxes, or sachets.

A very large process may require continuous blending, and a very small process may require batch blending. For example, continuous blending is unlikely to be economically advantageous for a process that will run for less than 100 hours, while a process that requires a material throughput greater than 1,000 kg/h would be very difficult to implement using batch blending. However, in many applications, either continuous or batch blending will work. To choose the best option for your application, you need to consider each type’s advantages and disadvantages.
continuous blending process also usually requires a closed-loop automated control system to achieve optimal operation. However, once the process has been properly implemented, it requires minimal scheduling and very little labor.

**Operational flexibility**

Continuous blending requires that you carefully select feeder conditions and fine-tune your control system to ensure accurate ingredient feeding for each blend you produce. Batch blending is much simpler to implement, allowing you to produce a variety of blends with one blender. If you need to use the same equipment to produce small amounts of many different blends, batch blending is often the only practical approach.

However, continuous blending provides much more flexibility in production quantity because of the equipment’s often substantial throughput range. Also, you can increase or decrease the total yield by simply running the process for a longer or shorter time. In batch blending, the minimum and maximum batch sizes are usually within a relatively narrow range that’s determined by the equipment size. If your production amount and throughput rate are very large or vary widely, and the number of products you’re blending is small, continuous blending is frequently the best approach.

**Process development and scaleability**

A continuous blending process is much easier to develop and scale up than a batch blending process. In fact, it’s possible to develop a continuous blending process at the same scale and using the same equipment that you will later use for actual manufacturing. This is simpler and more robust than scaling up a batch blending process. In batch blending, typically you must first develop the process using small equipment and then scale it up using much larger, production-scale units that rarely

work the same as the smaller equipment. In many applications, you can scale up a continuous blending process by simply running it for a longer time, as discussed in the previous section.

**Final product quality**

Continuous blending has a significant advantage over batch blending when it comes to robust performance, which often correlates to final product quality. As I’ve discussed in previous columns, batch blending is potentially adversely affected by several factors, including how the blender is loaded, the fill level, the blend’s tendency to segregate, and the presence of agglomerates in the blend. [Editor’s note: For more information about previous “Mixing Mechanics” columns, see reference 1.] Continuous blending tends to be protected against the first three of these factors, since the blender is loaded automatically, the agitator speed controls the fill level, and a properly implemented continuous blender can handle segregating blends. Also, when a mill is required to break up agglomerates in the blend’s ingredients, this is much more easily integrated into a continuous blending process than a batch process because a mill is an intrinsically continuous machine (Figure 1).

Continuous blending does require a larger upfront effort and expense, and it’s often possible to obtain adequate performance from batch blending, but when properly implemented and operated, continuous blending is frequently more reliable and profitable than batch blending. Except in an application where low product volume or the need to produce a large variety of different products gives batch blending the advantage, continuous blending tends to yield superior results.
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